

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** HB0156

**Title:** Clarify youth court act-prohibit misdemeanor commitment to correctional facility

**Primary Sponsor:** Matthews, G

**Status:** As Introduced

Sponsor signature	Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	---------------------------------	------

## Fiscal Summary

	<b>FY 2004 Difference</b>	<b>FY 2005 Difference</b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>		
General Fund	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>	\$0	\$0

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov. Impact    | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  |

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

#### **Department of Corrections**

1. This bill prohibits the youth court from committing a youth who has committed only misdemeanor offenses to Pine Hills or Riverside.
2. In a number of current cases the original offense would have been a felony, but is reduced to a misdemeanor during the adjudication process.
3. The Department of Corrections cannot estimate the number of cases that will now result in felony adjudication as a result of the passage of this bill.

#### **Judicial Branch**

4. Another affect of this legislation could be in the way plea bargains are handled. In order to make sure a youth could still be sent to a youth correctional facility, the court system may not allow for charges to be pleaded down to misdemeanor charges. This would not have a fiscal impact on the number of youth sent to a correctional facility because, under either current or proposed new statute, the youth would be sent to Pine Hills or Riverside Youth Correctional Facilities.
5. This legislation has no fiscal impact to the Judicial Branch.